FILED HARRISBURG, PA

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT CONFORM ANDREA, CLERK
FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA Beputy Clerk
(Harrisburg)

DONALD EDWARD COOL Plaintiff

V UNITED STATES TREASURY INTERNAL REVENUE SERVICE Defendant Civil No. 1:12 - CV - 0568 The Hororable Judge Sylvia H. Rambo

PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT

For and on the Record; I, Donald Edward Cool, authorized representative and attorney-in-fact for the above captioned and referenced Plaintiff and within the jurisdiction of these proceedings that is established in Commerce Whereby ANYONE desiring to participate must first be sworn in. I hereby CERTIFY and SWEAR on my unlimited Commercial liability that the testimony I give before this Honorable Court is of tirst Hand Knowledge, is the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth, true, correct and Complete, and not meant to mislead, to the best of my Knowledge and Conviction. COMÉS NOW by SPECIAL APPEARANCE, In Propria persona, Coming to the table with CLEAN HANDS and in GOOD FAITH, Herein and Henceforth Known as "Plaintiff."

I BRIEF IN SUPPORT

1.1) In accordance with THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE DISTRICT OF IDAHO

Case No. 93-405-E-EJL/11-19-93 The Internal Revenue Service

is not an agency of THE UNITED STATES. There in and Thereby are

the proper party Defendant in this cause / Action

PAGE 1 of 3 OCC

- 1.2) The proper party Defendants in this case have not responded in a timely manner as prescribed and Ordered by this Honorable Court. In fact and in Truth, the Defendants' have not provided ANY response to this Action / Cause.
- 1.3 Therein and Thereby Since the Internal Revenue Service is not an agency of to the UNITED STATES, then the UNITED STATES has No interest(s) in this matter, No jurisdiction or "Sovereign Immunities" alleged, presumed, implied or assumed do not apply in this matter.
- 1.4) "Pro Se litigant cannot be dismissed for failing to state a claim for which relief can be granted. Haines V. Kerner, 92 S. CT. 594, 404 U.S. 519.
- 1.5) "STATEMENTS OF COUNSEL in brief or argument are not sufficient for motion to Dismiss or for Summary Judgment." Irinsey V. Pagliaro,

 D.C. PA 1964, 229 F. Supp. 647. And absolutely when the
- 1.6 > Plaintiffs' Clajm (s) are lis procedurally proper and in accordance United Conversed cole as the thir best estlection present that with the Defendants coun direction of the the Defendants coun direction of Summary Judgment, if proof of that fact would have effect of establishing or relating one of the essential elements of course of action." Brown v. OKlahoma State Dank and Trust Co. of Vinita, OKlahoma, 860 P. 2d, 230 (1993)
- 1.7) Plaintiff's presentered document entitled "ACTUAL and CONSTRUCTIVE

 NOTICE OF INTENT TO Recind is Not the Contract Agreement. The

 Contract Agreement was is verbal, the document is the <u>FRUIT</u>, physical

 evidence that performance to any and ACC stipulations, conditions and the like

 1.7) Continues on Page 3

 Page 2 of 3 gas

1.77 Cont., were in deed met, by the Plaintiff. There in and thereby Satisfying any and all debts, duties or obligations. 1.8) Since Plaintiff filed this action / cause the Defendant (s) has harraved, intimidated and or threatened the Plaintiff's bank accounts, wages and property. The emotional anguish was is too much for the Plaintiff cawing Plaintiff to tender his resignation. [see enclosed] 1.9 > Defendant (s) have also CONVERTED on alleged claim of Civil Penalty for Frivolows filing to a 5,000 plus interest for back taxes due for 2007 Aling. [See enclosed] Plaintit has requested copies of 2007-2010 Filings with no response by the Defendant (5). 1.10 > Defendant(s) have dishonored every presentment (s) the Plaintiff has dendered. 1.11) It is the Plaintit's good pleasure to be merciful and show grace to the Defendant (S). By NOT seeking requesting remedy of over \$ 200,000,000.00 Two Hundred Million U.S.D. to which the Plaintiff is entitled to in accordance with Plaintit's Superior her Title U.C.C. - 1 filing No. 200902028038372 filed properly, lawfully and legally in segion one New York State, Dept. of Stake Uniform Commercial ade office. [see enclosed]

II. Conclusion 2.17 Therefor; the Plaint the hundly moves this Honorable Cart to great this Motion for Summary Judgment. Ordering that the accounts for 2007-2010 be adjusted to zero and closed and danages for emotional arguist in the amount of 7,000,000.00 Seven million U.S.D. or an amount deemed just and fair by this Honorable Judge. DATE JUNE 4, 2012

Fol DOUGLD EDWARD SACKAP

DEC.

Case	1:12-cv-00568-SHR	Document 10	Filed 06/07/12	Page 4 of 5
	Coch	L'ate of		
		OUF OF M	1011 INC	
1	· +K	OUF OF M	7/0/10	

I, Donald E. Cool, attorney-in-tact an authorized Representative to the plaintiff in Civil action wo. 1:12-CV-0568-SHK, IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COLET FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA (Hornsburg) On the 5th day of June 2012 did mail or caused to be mailed was U.S. postal service hist class postage one Copy (1) of the hollowing documents: 1) Motion For Summary Judgment (PlantAfs) W/OFDER حروح 2. Actual and Constructive Notice . وما 3. I.P.S Correspondence dubed May 14 2012 l ps 4-IRS Correspondence dated June 11 2012 109 S. Letter of resignation 105 6. Affidant in Support W/ Execution For a total of Il pgs. mailed herewith including any attachments (not including this Cokhrick of bool of mailing) and properly addressed as Follows: 1.) United States District Court Re The Middle District of PA 2. Unifel States attorney Gent other of the Attorney Great 950 Pensylvan a he. N. W.

Clock of Court 228 Walnut st. P.O. Bux 983 Harrisburg, PA 17101 Washirphi, D.C. 20530

3.) I R.S. Office Commissioner (1111 Constitutional ALL N. N. washighon, DC 20224

United States District Court Judges Chambers Hon. Sylvia H. Rando P.O. Box 868 Harrisburg, 14 17108-0868

Go 6879 Lineboxo Rd. Rol., Glen Roll, PA 17327

PARAMEBURG, PA

sburg, PA17101 WIDDE DISTRICT

